Soil Environment Services Ltd

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

RES Group

Beane Solar Farm Buntingford



Our Ref: SES/RES/CSF/#1 Date: 8th December 2023

Client:

Res Group Beauford Court Egg Farm Lane Kings Langley WD4 8LR

AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

Beane Solar Farm Buntingford

A report prepared on behalf of *Soil Environment Services* by:

Louise Tavasso BSc (Hons) M.I.SoilSci *Environmental Consultant*

Approved by:

Dr Robin S Davies BSc PhD F.I.SoilSci PGC Contaminated Land Management Managing Director

This report has been prepared by Soil Environment Services with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, within the terms of The Contract with The Client. The report is the property of The Client who can assign this report to any third party who will then be afforded the same assurances as detailed within the terms of the original Contract with The Client.

Soil Environment Services

Agricultural Land Classification, Contaminated Land Risk Assessment, Mineral Extraction Soil Planning Unit 8, Stocksfield Hill, Stocksfield, Northumberland, NE43 7TN Tel: 01661 844 827, Email: rd@soilenvironmentservices.co.uk www.soilenvironmentservices.co.uk

CONTENTS

		Page
1.	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Methodology	4
	1.2 Previous ALC gradings	4
2.	CLIMATIC LIMITATIONS	5
	2.1 Overall climate	5
	2.2 Local climate	5
3.	SITE LIMITATIONS	6
	3.1 Gradient	6
	3.2 Microrelief	6
	3.3 Flooding	6
4.	SOIL LIMITATIONS	7
	4.1 Texture and structure	7
	4.2 Depth	8
	4.3 Stoniness	8
	4.4 Chemical	8
5.	INTERACTIVE LIMITATIONS	9
	5.1 Wetness	9
	5.2 Droughtiness	9
	5.3 Erosion	9
6.	AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION	10
	6.1 Most limiting factor	10
	6.2 Current ALC grading	11

DRAWING 1 ALC Grade and survey points

APPENDIX A Survey profile data sheet

STATEMENT OF COMPETENCE GENERAL INFORMATION SOURCES GLOSSARY eane Solar Farm

1. INTRODUCTION

An Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) has been carried out on 80 ha of land at Cottered (Drawing 1). The site is centred on OS Grid Ref. 530659, 229524.

The survey was conducted in July 2023 and classified the land into one or more of the below grades (see Drawing 1). On the survey date, the site was in agricultural use.

1.1 Methodology

Agricultural land is classified into the following grades according to the 1988 guidelines¹.

Grade	Description
1	Excellent quality agricultural land with no or very minor limitations to agricultural use.
2	Very good quality agricultural land with minor limitations which affect crop yield, cultivation or harvesting.
3a	Good quality agricultural land capable of producing moderate to high yields of a narrow
3b	range of arable crops or moderate yields of a wider range of crops. Moderate quality agricultural land capable of producing moderate yields of a narrow range of crops or lower yields of a wider range of crops.
4	Poor quality agricultural land with severe limitations which significantly restrict the range of crops and/or level of yields.
5	Very poor quality agricultural land with very severe limitations which restrict use to permanent pasture or rough grazing, except for occasional pioneer forage crops.

The classification was informed by an initial desktop investigation to examine previously mapped soil types and to note the drift and solid geology followed by the field survey consisting of auger borings at one every 100 m in general and a pit excavated in each of the main soil types to confirm the structures and stone content if needed. Laboratory analysis of soil textures is undertaken if needed in order to confirm textures such the *heavy/medium* clay and *medium/fine* sand categories or stone content. All site survey profile data is listed in Appendix A.

All of the potential limitations are assessed and then the most limiting factor dictating the ALC grade was determined for this site and is detailed in Table 2.

1.2 Previous ALC gradings

Grading on the MAFF (1983) 1: 250 000 provisional map indicated the site is mapped as Grade 2 and 3 land. No detailed surveys were undertaken to inform this grading.

2. **CLIMATIC LIMITATIONS**

2.1 **Overall climate**

The climatological data for the site centre is detailed in Table 1.

Clin	Table 1 matological informati	on ³
Factor	Units	Value
Altitude AOD	m	115
Accumulated temperature	day°C (Jan-June)	1353.5
Average Annual Rainfall	mm	622.7
Field Capacity Days	days	122.6
Moisture Deficit Wheat	mm	103.5
Moisture Deficit Potatoes	mm	94.3
Overall climate ALC Grade	Gra	de 1

Climate will not result in the most significant limiting factor for the site.

2.2. Local climate

Local climate will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Page 5 Soil Environment Services

3 SITE LIMITATIONS

3.1 Gradient

The gradient of less than 7 degrees results in no limiting factor for the site.

3.2 Microrelief

The microrelief will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

3.3 Flooding

A very low to low risk of flooding from rivers, sea and surface water has been identified for the majority of the site (https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk). A medium to high risk of flooding has been identified for the area adjacent to the rivers which would place ALC Grade in this area no higher than 3a.



The client has informed that:

The most significant flooding is usually in autumn and winter with flooding persisting over a period of approximately three days with the frequency of c. four times a year. According to UK government guidance and MAFF Frequency and duration definitions, this would place the ALC Grade into 3b.

Scale Sola Lain

4 SOIL LIMITATIONS

4.1 Texture and structure

The soils on the site are noted as medium or heavy silty clay loam over clay loam and clay with a medium subangular blocky structure over chalk. The majority of the soils tested are calcareous which impacted the ALC grading in some areas in the north.

The site has previously been mapped as having soils of the following Associations:

The Hanslope Association soils on the majority of the site are mapped as: *Slowly permeable calcareous clayey soils*. *Some slowly permeable non-calcareous clayey soils*. *Slight risk of water erosion* (www.landis.org.uk).

The Hornbeam Association on the south-west of the site soils are mapped as: *Deep fine loamy over clayey soils with slowly permeable subsoils and slight seasonal waterlogging. Some well drained fine loamy and fine silty over clayey and clayey soils. Some soils very flinty.* (www.landis.org.uk).

Superficial Geology 1:50 000 scale superficial deposits description:

No superficial geology mapped on the majority of the site

Alluvium - Clay, silt, sand and gravel.- adjacent to the River Beane

Head - Clay, silt, sand and gravel. – to south

Glaciofluvial Deposits, Mid Pleistocene - Sand and gravel – South-West

Bedrock Geology 1:50 000 scale bedrock geology description:

Lewes Nodular Chalk Formation and Seaford Chalk Formation - Chalk. - entire site

4.2 **Depth**

Soil depth will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

4.3 **Stoniness**

Stoniness within the top 25 cm of soil is considered not to be a limiting factor for the soils on the site.

Chemical 4.4

Chemical contamination will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Page 8 Soil Environment Services

5. INTERACTIVE LIMITATIONS

5.1 Wetness

The combination of Wetness Class II for the soils (see Appendix A) with Field Capacity Days of 122.6 and a topsoil texture of heavy silty clay loam results in an ALC Grade of 3a or 2 depending on the calcareousness of the soils.

5.2. **Droughtiness**

The Available Water Capacity which subsequently when considered with respect to the Moisture Deficit for wheat and potatoes does result in a significant droughtiness limitation and hence ALC Grade 2, 3a or 3b for some of the soils on the site.

It should be noted that some individual borings gave rise to Grade 3b classification for droughtiness. However, it is considered that as these were in isolated locations, they did not warrant a separate ALC delineation with the exception of a small area to the north-west.

5.3 **Erosion**

Erosion will not result in a significant limiting factor for this site.

Page 9 Soil Environment Services

6. AGRICULTURAL LAND CLASSIFICATION

6.1 Most limiting factors

Grade 3a/2- Wetness Limitation

The combination of Wetness Class II for the soils (see Appendix A) with Field Capacity Days of 122.6 and a topsoil texture of heavy silty clay loam results in an ALC Grade of 3a or 2 depending on the calcareousness of the soils.

Grade 3b/3a/2-Droughtiness Limitation

The Available Water Capacity which subsequently when considered with respect to the Moisture Deficit for wheat and potatoes does result in a significant droughtiness limitation for some areas of the site.

Grade 3b-Flooding Limitation

According to UK government guidance, MAFF Frequency and duration definitions, information from the landowner place the flooding areas into ALC Grade 3b.

Patten Effect

The soil pattern and hence the ALC pattern is complex and changes over very short distances in places. There is considerable variation in the depth to solid rock and this effects the droughtiness together with the stone content. Given the surrounding land graded as 3a, two smaller areas of ALC Grade 2 land within this area have been re-categorised as 3a due to pattern effect.

As stated in the MAFF guidance: 'A degree of variability in physical characteristics within a discrete area is to be expected. If the area includes a small proportion of land of different quality, the variability can be considered as a function of the mapping scale. Thus, small, discrete areas of a different ALC grade may be identified on large-scale maps, whereas on smaller scale maps it may only be feasible to show the predominant grade. However, where soil and site conditions vary significantly and repeatedly over short distances and impose a practical constraint on cropping and land management a 'pattern limitation' is said to exist' (Section 1, Maff Guidance Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales, 1988)

Action Sold Little

6.2 Current grading

This survey has resulted in the following grades (Table 2 and Drawing 1):

7	Table 2.	ALC	gradings and limitations
Grade	ha	%	Limitation
1			
2	16	20	Droughtiness
3a	47	58.75	Wetness, droughtiness and pattern effect
3b	17	21.25	Droughtiness and flooding
4			
5			
Non-agricultural land			
Total	80	100%	

DRAWING 1


ALC Grade

ALC Grades Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3a Grade 3b Grade 4 Grade 5 Non agricultural land Boring Pit

Soil Environment Services

Drawing Title: ALC Grade Drawing No.: 1

Scale: 1:10000 Date: 20/07/2023



APPENDIX A

Soil profile data

Notes

All abbreviations relating to soil parameters are standard and derived from the guidance documents:

Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF. 1988. Soil Survey Field Handbook. Technical Monograph No.5. Soil Survey of England and Wales. 1976.

- 2 The pit data is detailed in this table and information on structure and stone content copied to the appropriate boring profiles.
- 3 Any blanks or zeros in the cells indicate the data is not needed or appropriate for that cell.
- 4 If 'NA' is inserted in a cell the information is not appropriate on this occasion.
- 5. Boring or pit locations are directly (within 2 m accuracy) on the grid reference corresponding to the points on the map unless otherwise stated.
- A point directly marked on a track, boundary or other feature will be moved 2-3 m off the point or omitted if surrounding points and soil types allow.
- 7. Borings that are potentially within 15 m of a gas pipeline are limited to 0.4 m depth and the strata description in the data table below this depth will be extrapolated from nearby borings and upper strata characteristics.
- 8. The *Observation Density* is 1 per ha on a 100 m grid or using a semi *Free Survey* method if appropriate*. The letter 'B' in the second column of the data table refers to an observation point at which a boring will have been undertaken. In some situations it is not possible to visit the location due to for example crop status or animals in a field. In such cases, the location moved or nearby data is used. The soil, geology, topography, flood risk and aerial crop patterns are assessed from published sources and the soils will be subject to a full 120 cm depth boring if possible. If all data sources are agreeable, a soil pattern can be established.
 - * British Society of Soil Science. Working With Soil The Professional Competency Scheme. Agricultural Land Classification: England and Wales. How2 sheet 4.2.4. 2018.
- 9. For moisture balance calculations, *strongly, moderately* and *well developed* structure will equate to *good, moderate* or *poor* structure terms respectively in Table 14 of the guidelines.
- 10. Pit information in addition to that listed in the table below will be detailed in Section 4.1 and 4.3 if needed.

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts,/ black ferro. conc. %/ depth	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistence)	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				0									18		1					
1		В	≤7	40		HZCL		10YR64				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	, [16	8	10	7	7.40	22	4 21	,
1		В	2/	75		СН		10YR81				0		Р					'	1	10	7	10	7	-7.49	3a	4.21	2
				120		НСН		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				10	HR								18		1					
2		В	≤7	40		HZCL		10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-11.74	За	-0.04	2
				75		CH		10YR81				5	hr	Р							10	7	10	7				
				120		HCH		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18	_	1	_				
3		В	≤7	40		C/CH	_	7.5YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-37.11	3b	-27.92	3a
				120		CH	-	10YR81				0								ŀ	0	0	0	0				
_				120 25		MCL	Υ	10YR42				5	HR								18	0	1	0				
				40		HZCL	-	10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD				ŀ	16	8	10	7				
4		В	≤7	75		CH		10YR81				0		Р	1415715				1	1	10	7	10	7	-9.61	3a	2.08	2
				120		нсн		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
-				40		HZCL		10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			.		16	8	10	7	0.61	2-	2.08	2
5		В	≤7	75		CH		10YR81				0		Р					1	1	10	7	10	7	-9.61	3a	2.08	2
				120		нсн		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
6		В	≤7	50		С		7.5YR54				5	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-21.41	3b	-12.22	3a
-				120		С		10YR44				5	HR/CH		CAB	MD					0	0	0	0				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	7.5YR43				5	HR	_							18		1	-				
7		В	≤7	45		C/CH	_	7.5YR44				10	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-29.86	3b	-20.67	3a
				120		CH		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
-				120 22		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18	U	1	U				
				45		C/CH	÷	7.5YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD					16	8	10	7				
8		В	≤7	120		CH		10YR81				0							1	1	0	0	0	0	-29.70	3b	-20.50	3a
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR42				5	HR								18		1					
0				42		C/CH		7.5YR44				0		Р	MSAB	MD					16	8	10	7	22.46	26	24.27	2-
9		В	≤7	120		СН		10YR81				0							1	1	0	0	0	0	-33.46	3b	-24.27	3a
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
10		В	≤7	40		HZCL		10YR44				10	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-10.06	3a	1.63	2
				75		CH		10YR81				0		Р							10	7	10	7				
				120		HCH		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25	<u>'</u>	MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR/CH								18		1					
11		В	≤7	50		С	_	7.5YR54				5	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	28.21	2	15.66	1
				120 120		С	_	10YR44				10 0	HR/CH		MAB	MD				ŀ	16 0	8	0	0.5				
				25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR/CH								18	0	1	0				
				50		С	Ė	7.5YR54				15	HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
12		В	≤7	120		С		10YR44				15	HR/CH		CAB	MD			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	25.59	2	10.41	1
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MZCL	Υ	10YR42				5	HR/CH								19		1					
13		В	≤7	50		HCL		7.5YR44	5/25	10YR56		15	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD	50	50	п	2	16	10	10	7	-11.14	За	16.66	1
13				60		SC		10YR56	5/50	10YR56		20	CH	Р	CAB	MD	50	50		_	15	10	10	7		50	10.00	-
				120		HCH		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR42				5	HR/CH								18		1					
14		В	≤7	50		C	-	7.5YR44	5/25	10YR56		15	CH/HR	P P	MSAB	MD	50	50	П	2	16	8	10	7	-13.51	3a	14.28	1
				60 120		SC HCH		10YR56 10YR81	5/50	10YR56		20	CH	r	CAB	MD					15 0	10 0	10	0				
				25		MCL	Υ	10YR42				10	HR/CH								18	0	1					
				55		C	-	101K42	5/25	10YR56		15	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD					16	8	10	7				
15		В	≤7	60		SC		10YR56	10/55	10YR56		15	CH	P	CAB	MD	55	55	П	2	15	10	10	7	-16.34	3a	13.08	1
				120		нсн		10YR81	.,			0			· •	Ť					0	0	0	0				
				25		MZCL	Υ	10YR44				5	HR/CH								19		1					
16		_		50		С		7.5YR54				10	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5	26.00	2	14.00	
16		В	≤7	120		С		10YR44				15	HR/CH		CAB	MD			ı	1	16	8	1	0.5	26.09	2	14.66	1
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR/CH								19		1					
17		В	≤7	50		HCL		7.5YR53				10	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	10	1	0.5	26.09	2	14.66	1
				120		С		10YR43				15	HR/CH		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120		1170		1000 10				0	LUD (C)								0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	Υ	10YR43	-			5	HR/CH	P	NAC A D	BAD.					18	0	1	0.5				
18		В	≤7	50 120		C C		7.5YR54 10YR43				10 15	HR/CH	۲	MSAB CAB	MD			1	1	16 16	8	1	0.5	23.71	2	12.28	1
				120				101143				0	HR/CH		CAB	IVID					0	0	0	0.5				
				25		HCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR/CH								18	0	1	, ,				
				45		C	÷	7.5YR53	2/25	10YR56		15	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD					16	8	10	7				
19		В	≤7	60		sc		10YR56	5/45	10YR56		15	CH	P	CAB	MD	45	45	П	2	15	10	10	7	-13.79	3a	14.36	1
				120		нсн		10YR81	., .5	,50		0	-	•							0	0	0	0				
				25		HCL	Υ	10YR42				5	HR/CH								18		1					
20		_		50		С		7.5YR53	5/25	10YR56		15	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD	E0.	F0	₀ .	,	16	8	10	7	12.54	2-	14.22	
20		В	≤7	60		sc		10YR56	5/50	10YR56		20	CH	Р	CAB	MD	50	50	П	2	15	10	10	7	-13.51	3a	14.28	1
								10YR81				0									0							

21 22 23 24 25		В	25 60 120 25 60 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 12)	HCL C SCL	Υ	10YR43			<u> </u>		Porosity	consistence)	Degree of development	(cm)	Gleying depth (cm)		Grade (wetness)						Ö		Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
22 23 24		В	≤7 120 120 25 60 120 120 25 50)						2	HR								18		6					
23			25 60 120 120 25 50				10YR44 10YR64	5/60	10YR56	5 10	HR HR	P P	MSAB CAB	MD	60	60	П	2	16 15	8 10	1	0.5	40.91	1	17.03	1
23			120 120 25 50		HCL	Υ	10YR42			5	HR								18	0	6	0				
24		В	120 25 50		SC SC		10YR44	5/60	10YR56	5	HR HR	P P	MSAB CAB	MD	60	60	п	2	15 15	10 10	1	0.5	42.39	1	13.51	1
24		В	50				10YR64	3/60	101130	0		r	CAB	IVID					0	0	0	0.5				
24		В			MZCL	Υ	10YR43 7.5YR54			5 10	HR/CH HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					19 16	10	1	0.5	20.74	_	45.45	
			120		С		10YR43			10 0	HR/CH		CAB	MD			I	1	16 0	8	1	0.5	28.71	2	16.16	1
			25		HZCL	Υ	10YR43			5	HR/CH								18		1	U				
25		В	≤7 50 120		ZC		7.5YR54 10YR43			5 10	HR/CH HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	1	16 15	8	1	0.5	28.21	2	13.86	1
25			120)	HCL	Υ				0									0	0	0	0				
23		В	25 ≤7		HCL	Ť	10YR42 10YR54			10	HR/CH CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD			1	2	16	10	10	7	-14.26	3a	17.83	1
			60 120		С		10YR56 10YR81			10	СН	Р	CAB	MD				-	16 0	8	10 0	7				
			25		HCL	Υ	10YR43			5	HR/CH								18		1					
26		В	≤7 45 60		SC		7.5YR44 10YR56	5/25	10YR56 10YR56	15 15	CH/HR CH	P P	MSAB CAB	MD	45	45	П	2	16 15	10	10	7	-13.79	За	14.36	1
	-		120		HCH HCL	Υ	10YR81 10YR42			0 5	HR								0 18	0	6	0				
27		В	≤7 60		HZCL	Ė	10YR53			10	HR	Р	MSAB	MD	60	60	П	2	17	10	1	0.5	45.26	1	17.96	1
			120		SC		10YR64	5/60	10YR56	5	HR	Р	CAB	MD				-	15 0	0	0	0.5				
			25 60		HCL SC	Υ	10YR42 10YR44			5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 15	10	6	0.5				
28		В	≤7)	SC		10YR64	5/60	10YR56	5	HR	Р	CAB	MD	60	60	П	2	15	10	1	0.5	42.39	1	13.51	1
			120 25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43			5	HR/CH								19	0	1	0				
29		Р	≤7 48 120		HCL C		10YR54 10YR43			10 10	HR/CH HR/CH	Р	MSAB CAB	MD			ı	1	16 16	10 8	1	0.5	28.71	2	16.16	1
			120)						0			0.0						0	0	0	0				
30		D .	50		HZCL C	Υ	10YR43 7.5YR54			5	HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD				1	18 16	8	1	0.5	27.64	2	12.20	1
30		В	≤7 120 120		ZC		10YR43			10 0	HR/CH		CAB	MD			'	1	15 0	8	1	0.5	27.64	2	13.29	1
			22		HZCL	Υ	10YR42			5	HR								18		1					
31		В	≤7 50 120		ZC		7.5YR54 10YR43			10	HR/CH	Р	MSAB CAB	MD			I	1	15 15	8	1	0.5	23.02	2	8.67	2
	+		120		HZCL	Y	10YR42			0 5	HR								0 18	0	0	0				
32		В	≤7 50		ZC	Ė	7.5YR54			5	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	1	15	8	1	0.5	27.92	2	12.93	1
			120		ZC		10YR43			5	СН		CAB	MD				-	15 0	8	0	7				
			25 55		HZCL C	Υ	10YR33 10YR44	20/25	10YR56	5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	8	1	0.5				
33		В	≤7)	С		10YR42	30/55	10YR56	5	HR	Р	CAB	MD	55	55	П	2	16	8	1	0.5	15.59	2	17.16	1
	+		120 25		HCH	Υ	10YR81 10YR43			5	HR								18	0	1	0				
34		В	≤7 40 65		C		10YR44 10YR53	5/25 30/40	10YR56 10YR56	10 20	HR/CH CH/HR	P P	MSAB CAB	MD	40	40	н	2	16 16	8	1 10	0.5 7	-12.41	За	14.68	1
	4		120		HCH	V	10YR81			0									0	0	0	0				
35		В	25 48 ≤7		MZCL HCL	Υ	10YR44 10YR54			5 15	HR/CH HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	1	19 16	10	1	0.5	24.21	2	12.78	1
			120		С		10YR43			15 0	HR/CH		CAB	MD				-	16 0	8	0	0.5				
			22 50		HZCL C	Υ	10YR43 7.5YR54			5	HR HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					18		1	0.5				
36		В	≤7 120		ZC		7.5YR43			5 10	HR/CH	r	CAB	MD			I	1	16 15	8	1	0.5	27.64	2	13.29	1
	+		120		MZCL	Υ	10YR42			0 5	HR								0 18	0	0	0				
37		В	≤7 50		ZC		7.5YR54			10	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	1	15	8	1	0.5	23.02	2	8.67	2
			120)	ZC		10YR53			0	HR/CH		CAB	MD					15 0	0	0	0.5				
20		_	50		MZCL C	Υ	10YR43 7.5YR54			10 10	HR HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	8	1	0.5	22.67	2	0.22	,
38		В	120		ZC		7.5YR43			10	HR/CH		CAB	MD			I	1	15 0	8	1	0.5	23.67	2	9.32	2
	+		25		HCL	Υ	10YR42			10	HR/CH								18	0	1	0				
39		В	≤7 50 60		C		10YR44 10YR56			15 25	CH/HR CH	P P	MSAB CAB	MD			1	2	16 16	8	10 10	7	-17.29	3a	13.16	1
	_		120)	нсн	v	10YR81			0									0	0	0	0				
40		В	25 ≤7		HCL	Υ	10YR42 7.5YR44			10 15	HR/CH CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	2	18 16	10	10	7	-17.29	3a	13.16	1
70		-	60		С		10YR56 10YR81			25 0	СН	Р	CAB	MD				-	16 0	8	10 0	7	27.23	Ja	13.10	

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts./ black ferro. conc. %/depth	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistence	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
41		В	≤7	25 55		HZCL C	Υ	10YR33 10YR44	20/25	10YR56		5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD	55	55	11	2	18 16	8	1	0.5	13.90	2	16.03	1
71			-21	100 120		С		10YR42 10YR81	30/55	10YR56		10	HR	Р	CAB	MD	33	33			16 0	8	0	0.5	13.30	-	10.03	-
42														Poir	nt omitte	d												
				25		HZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
43		В	≤7	70		HCL C		10YR44 10YR53	5/25 30/40	10YR56		20	HR/CH CH/HR	P P	CAB	MD	40	40	Ш	2	16 16	8	10	7	-8.51	За	14.68	1
			Н	120 22		HZCL	Υ	10YR81 10YR43				5	HR								18	0	1	0				
44		В	≤7	45 70		HCL C		10YR44 10YR53	10/22 30/45	10YR56 10YR56		10 20	HR/CH CH/HR	P P	MSAB CAB	MD	45	45	П	2	16 16	10 8	1 10	0.5 7	-9.46	3a	13.74	1
				120		HCH		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				25 80		HCL C/CH	Y	10YR43 10YR46				5 15	HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	8	10	7		_		
45		В	≤7	120		НСН		10YR81				0							1	1	0	0	0	0	0.64	3a	16.48	1
			Н	120 25		HCL	Υ	10YR43				10	HR								18	0	0	0				
46		В	≤7	65		C/CH		10YR44				15	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			ı	1	16	8	10	7	-13.26	3a	6.81	2
				120 120		НСН		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				22		HCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
47		В	≤7	68 120		C/CH HCH		10YR46 10YR81				15 0	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16 0	8	10 0	7	-9.40	3a	12.85	1
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25 70		HCL C/CH	Y	10YR43 10YR44				10	HR/CH	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	8	10	7		_		
48		P	≤7	120		нсн		10YR81				0							1	1	0	0	0	0	-9.34	3a	14.36	1
			Н	120 25		HCL	Υ	10YR43				10	HR								0 18	0	0	0				
49		В	≤7	80		C/CH		10YR44				15	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16	8	10	7	-1.49	3a	14.36	1
				120 120		НСН		10YR81				0									0	0	0	0				
				20		HCL	Υ	10YR43				15	CH/HR								18		1					
50		В	≤7	60 120		C/CH HCH		10YR44 10YR81				15 0	CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	16 0	8	10	7	-19.49	3a	-3.04	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				22 60		HCL C/CH	Υ	10YR43 10YR44				5 20	CH/HR CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	8	1 10	7				
51		В	≤7	120		НСН		10YR81				0	Cijiik	Ė	IVISAB	IVID			1	1	0	0	0	0	-16.57	3a	-0.37	2
52				120								0		Poir	nt omitte	d					0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18		1					
53		В	≤7	40		HCL		10YR44	5/25	10YR54		10	HR/CH	Р	MSAB	MD	40	40	п	2	16	10	1	0.5	-8.51	3a	14.68	1
				70 120		С	-	10YR53 10YR81	25/40	10YR56		20	CH/HR	Р	CAB	MD					16 0	8	10	7				
				25		HCL	Υ	10YR42				10	CH/HR								18		1					
54		В	≤7	58 120		SC/CH HCH		10YR54 10YR81				15	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	15 0	10 0	10	7	-19.52	3a	-6.57	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25 60		HCL SCL/CH	Y	10YR52 10YR54				10 15	CH/HR CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 15	10	10	7				
55		В	≤7	120		НСН		10YR81				0		Ė					1	1	0	0	0	0	-17.61	3a	-3.72	2
			Н	120 25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								19	0	1	0				
56		В	≤7	50		SCL	Ė	10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			,	1	15	10	1	0.5	28.21	2	15.66	1
50				120 120		С		10YR53				10	HR/CH		CAB	MD					16 0	8	0	0.5				
				22		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								19		1					
57		В	≤7	45		SCL C		10YR54				5	HR	P	MSAB	MD			1	1	15	10	1	0.5	27.17	2	14.62	1
				120 120				10YR53				10 0	HR/CH		CAB	MD					16 0	0	0	0.5				
				25 50		MZCL SCL	Υ	10YR43 10YR44				5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD					19 15	10	1	0.5				
58		В	≤7	120		C		10YR44 10YR53				15	HR/CH	۲	CAB	MD			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	25.59	2	14.16	1
			Ш	120		140	,,	400:510				0	611 /11-								0	0	0	0				
FC			الما	25 40		MCL	Y	10YR43 10YR81				15 0	CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD					18	7	10	7	40	21	40 =:	27
59		В	≤7	120		НСН		10YR81				0							'	1	0	0	0	0	-49.91	3b	-40.72	3b
		_	Н	120 25		HCL	Υ	10YR52				10	CH/HR						-		18	0	1	0				
60		В	≤7	60		SC/CH		10YR54				10	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	15	10	10	7	-16.84	3a	-2.84	2
		Ċ	Ė	120 120		НСН	-	10YR81				0			-						0	0	0	0				

61	Boring or Pit	Grad.	Depth (cm)	OFFICE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts./ black ferro. conc. %/ depth	colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistence)	Degree of developmen	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
61			25		HCL	Υ	10YR42				10	HR								18		1					
61	В	≤7	58 120		C/CH HCH		10YR54 10YR81				15 0	CH/HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	16 0	8	10	7	-18.76	3a	-3.76	2
			120								0									0	0	0	0				
			25 58		HCL SC/CH	Υ	10YR43 10YR54				10 15	HR CH/HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 15	10	10	7				
62	В	≤7	120		нсн		10YR81				0							I	1	0	0	0	0	-19.52	3a	-6.57	2
			120 25		MCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								18	0	0	0				
63	В	≤7	50		SCL		10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD			1	1	15	10	1	0.5	20.59	2	10.28	1
			120 120		С		10YR53				20	HR/CH		CAB	MD					16 0	8	0	0.5				
			25		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								19		1					
64	В	≤7	45 120		SCL C		10YR54 10YR53				10	HR HR/CH	Р	MSAB CAB	MD			1	1	15 16	10 8	1	0.5	26.91	2	14.36	1
			120				2011133				0	my cm		0.0						0	0	0	0				
			25 50		MZCL SCL	Υ	10YR43 10YR44				5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD					19 15	10	1	0.5				
65	В	≤7	120		C		10YR53				15	HR/CH		CAB	MD			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	25.59	2	14.16	1
			120 20		MZCL	Υ	10YR43				0	HR								0	0	0	0				
66	В	≤7	120		SCL	_	10YR56				10 25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK				1	19 16	8	1	0.5	10.49	2	1.31	2
00		2/	120								0							'	1	0	0	0	0	10.45	2	1.51	2
			120 25		SCL	Υ	10YR33				10	HR								18	0	0	0				
67	В	≤7	120		SCL		10YR56				25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	10.71	2	1.53	2
			120 120								0									0	0	0	0				
			25		SCL	Υ	10YR32				10	HR								18		1					
68	В	≤7	120 120		SCL		10YR56				25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK			1	1	16 0	8	0	0.5	10.71	2	1.53	2
			120								0									0	0	0	0				
			25 50		HCL	Υ	10YR43 10YR44				5	HR HR	P	MSAB	MD					18 16	10	1	0.5				
69	В	≤7	120		С		10YR53				10	HR/CH		CAB	MD			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	28.21	2	15.66	1
			120 25		HCL	Υ	10YR43				5	HR								0 18	0	0	0				
70	В	≤7	45		HCL	Ė	10YR44				5	HR	Р	MSAB	MD				1	16	10	1	0.5	27.84	2	15.28	1
70		_,	120 120		С		10YR53				10	HR/CH		CAB	MD			ľ	-	16 0	8	0	0.5	27.04	-	15.20	•
			20		SCL	Υ	10YR43				10	HR								18		1					
71	В	≤7	120		SCL		10YR56				25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK			1	1	16	8	1	0.5	8.69	2	-0.49	2
			120								0									0	0	0	0				
			20 45		C C	Υ	10YR43 7.5YR54				10 10	HR/CH	P	MCAD	VALLE					18		1	0.5				
72	В	≤7	120		С		10YR64	2/45	7.5YR46		20	HR/CH HR/CH	P	MSAB CAB	MD	45	45	П	2	16 16	8	1	0.5	60.69	1	7.01	2
			120				7 FVD 42				0	up (cu								0	0	0	0				
72		-7	40		C C	Y	7.5YR43 7.5YR54					HR/CH HR/CH	P	MSAB	WK	40	40			18 16	8	1	0.5	40.40			
73	В	≤7	120		С		10YR64	5/40	7.5YR46		25	HR/CH		CAB	MD	40	40	П	2	16	8	1	0.5	13.19	2	4.01	2
74			120								0									0	0	0	0				
74													roint	omitted													
75												F	Point	omitted													
76												F	Point	omitted													
			20		SCL SCL	N	10YR43 10YR56				10	HR HR	P	MSAB	WK					18 16	8	1	0.5				
77	В	≤7	120								0							ı	1	0	0	0	0	8.69	2	-0.49	2
78												f	Point	omitted													
79												F	Point	omitted													
80												F	Point	omitted													

Obs point	Grid ref. if off intersection	Boring or Pit	Grad. (deg)	Base Depth (cm)	OFFICE USE	Text.	Calc	Matrix colour	Motts./ black ferro. conc. %/ depth	Mott colour or FC if ferro. conc.	Ped face colour	Stns %	Stns type	Porosity	Struct (/F=firm consistence	Degree of development	SPL depth (cm)	Gleying depth (cm)	SWC	Grade (wetness)	TAv	EAv	StTAv	StEAv	MBW	Grade (Drought. WHEAT)	MBP	Grade (Drought. POTATOES)
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR								18		1					
81		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33				25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	11.34	2	2.16	2
				120		SC		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR								18		1					
82		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33	0/45	401055		25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	11.34	2	2.16	2
				120 120		SC		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25 0	HR		CAB	MD					16 0	8	0	0.5				
83				110								Ü		Point	omitted						Ū		Ū	· ·				
84														Point	omitted													
				22		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR								18		1					
85		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33				20	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	21.38	2	0.20	2
65		В	2/	120		SCL		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD	45	45	"	Ja	15	10	1	0.5	21.30	2	0.20	2
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				10	HR								18		1					
86		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33	_			10	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	23.54	2	2.36	2
00				120		SC		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD		-13		50	15	10	1	0.5	25.54	-	2.50	-
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR								18		1					
87		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33				15	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	14.14	2	4.96	2
				120		С		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR		A4CAE	14/1/					18	40	1	0.5				
88		В	≤7	50		HCL		10YR33	0/50	40105-		25	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	50	50	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	10.96	2	1.78	2
				120		SC		7.5YR53	2/50	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120		11761		4000 40				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				5	HR	-	14040	14/1/					18	40	1	0.5				
89		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33	2/45	400055		12	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	14.98	2	5.80	2
				120		SC		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120		11761		4000 40				0									0	0	0	0				
				25		HZCL	N	10YR42				10	HR	-	14040	14/1/					18	40	1	0.5				
90		В	≤7	45		HCL		10YR33	2/45	400055		20	HR	Р	MSAB	WK	45	45	П	3a	15	10	1	0.5	10.61	2	1.43	2
				120		SC		7.5YR53	2/45	10YR56		25	HR		CAB	MD					16	8	1	0.5				
				120								0									0	0	0	0				

Statement of competence - Agricultural land Classification

SES Ltd undertake several dozen Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) or Land Capability Classifications for Agriculture (LCCA-Scotland) surveys a year and have worked on sites up to 1000 ha including housing, roads, solar farm and mineral extraction developments.. We have been undertaking ALC surveys for 25 years and have won many contracts to supply Land Classification reports to local authorities as part of their strategic development plans. A number of our staff have attended the training course Agricultural Land Classification: England and Wales. Working with Soil – The IPSS Professional Competency Scheme. BSSS & DEFRA.

DR ROBIN DAVIES BSc PhD F.I.SoilSci. (Managing Director)

- Fellow of The British Society of Soil Science
- Council Member of The Institute of Professional Soil Scientists for 4 years.
- PhD Soil Physics Agricultural land drainage University of Newcastle upon Tyne
- Founder and Managing Director of Soil Environment Services Limited for 25 years.

Selected peer reviewed scientific papers:

- * Soil nitrogen depletion the threat from soil stockpiling. Environmental Scientist: Journal of The Institution of Environmental Sciences, 1997.
- * Nitrogen loss from a soil, restored after surface-mining. Journal of Environmental Quality, 1995
- * The influence of soil factors on the growth of a grass/clover sward on a restored site in Northumberland. Grass & Forage Science, 1994.
- * The effect of post-restoration cropping regime on some physical properties of a restored soil. Soil Use & Management, 1994
- * Water availability in a restored soil. Soil Use & Management, 1992.
- * A laboratory Method for Investigating the Stabilisation of Mole Channels. J. Agric. Eng. Res. 1991.

Louise Tavasso BSc (Hons). (Soil surveyor/ Environmental Consultant)

Member of British Society of Soil Science

Postgraduate short course Contaminated Land Risk assessment – LQM Nottingham University

Worked for Soil Environment Services Limited for 16 years. Environmental consultant with initial work in contaminated land risk assessment and since 2011 as assistant soil surveyor with last three years as lead consultant on agricultural land classification surveys. All work areas have required field survey and identification and description of soils combined with an understanding of soil processes for reporting.

Completed the BSSS Agricultural Land Classification Course - 2021.



Main areas of specialisation

1 Agricultural Land Classification

Soil survey and Agricultural Land Classification for planning applications –, roads, housing, solar parks. Fully conversant with the procedures of the Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales, Guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land, 1988, MAFF, London.

2 Soil survey for habitat restoration

Soil survey and nutrient analysis assessment for conversion of farmland to species rich grassland.

3 Contaminated land risk assessment

Phase 1 site survey risk assessment of contaminated land; site investigation, on-site <u>monitoring; risk</u> analysis, modelling and communication; recommendations for Phase 2 and remediation options.

Examples of Agricultural Land Classification (ALC or LCCA Scotland) consultancy work

Kier Mining. Greenburn Opencast Coal Site. Soils and deep peat survey for LCCA report soil resources planning.

2011

Newcastle International Airport Ltd. ALC survey for solar park development. 2021.

Examples of soil survey habitat creation consultancy work

BSG Ecology. Backwork Estate - farmland conversion to wildflower meadow. 2020.

Private garden owner. Soil survey and recommendation for drainage system design. 2021

Examples of contaminated land consultancy work

Numerous risk assessments on petrol stations for hydrocarbon leakages (2006-2019) Farm building risk assessments for conversion to residential housing (2006-2019)

SES Ltd ALC CS V1 2021

GENERAL INFORMATION SOURCES

- **1.** Agricultural Land Classification of England and Wales. Revised guidelines and criteria for grading the quality of agricultural land. MAFF. 1988.
- **2.** *Soil Survey Field Handbook.* Technical Monograph No.5. Soil Survey of England and Wales.1976.
- 3. Climatological Data for Agricultural Land Classification, The Met. Office 1989
- **4.** *Soil Map of England and Wales: 1:250 000*. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden.
- 5. Soils and Their Use in South-Eastern England. Soil Survey of England and Wales,
- 6. Agricultural Land Classification Map 1:250 000. MAFF 1983.
- **7.** *Risk of Flooding:* https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-flood-risk
- **8.** Geology of Britain Viewer. Reproduced with the permission of the British Geological Survey ©NERC. All rights Reserved
- **9.** Butler, B E. Soil Classification for Soil Survey Monographs on Soil Survey (1980) Clarendon Press, Oxford
- 10. Munsell Soil Colour Charts, Munsell Colour, Grand Rapids 1994.

GLOSSARY

ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS USED IN SURVEY DATA

Soil pit and auger boring information collected during ALC survey is held on a computer database and is reproduced in this report. Terms used and abbreviations are set out below. These conform to definitions contained in the Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997).

1. Terms used on computer database, in order of occurrence.

GRID REF: National 100 km grid square and 8 figure grid reference.

LAND USE: At the time of survey

WHT:	Wheat	SBT:	Sugar Beet	HTH:	Heathland
BAR:	Barley	BRA :	Brassicas	BOG:	Bog or Marsh
OAT:	Oats	FCD:	Fodder Crops	DCW:	Deciduous Wood
CER:	Cereals	FRT:	Soft and Top Fruit	CFW:	Coniferous Woodland
MZE:	Maize	HRT:	Horticultural Crops	PLO:	Ploughed
OSR:	Oilseed Rape	LEY:	Ley Grass	FLW:	Fallow (inc. Set aside)
POT:	Potatoes	PGR:	Permanent Pasture	SAS:	Set Aside (where known)
LIN:	Linseed	RGR:	Rough Grazing	OTH:	Other
BEN:	Field Beans	SCR:	Scrub		

GRDNT: Gradient as estimated or measured by hand-held optical clinometer.

GLEY, SPL: Depth in centimetres to gleying or slowly permeable layer.

AP (WHEAT/POTS): Crop-adjusted available water capacity.

MB (WHEAT/POTS): Moisture Balance. (Crop adjusted AP - crop potential

MD)

DRT: Best grade according to soil droughtiness.

If any of the following factors are considered significant, 'Y' will be entered in the relevant column.

MREL:	Microrelief limitation	FLOOD:	Flood risk	EROSN:	Soil erosion risk
EXP:	Exposure limitation	FROST:	Frost prone	DIST:	Disturbed land
	C1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				

CHEM: Chemical limitation

LIMIT: The main limitation to land quality: The following abbreviations are used.

OC:	Overall Climate	AE:	Aspect	EX:	Exposure
FR:	Frost Risk	GR:	Gradient	MR:	Microrelief
FL:	Flood Risk	TX:	Topsoil Texture	DP:	Soil Depth
CH:	Chemical	WE:	Wetness	WK:	Workability
DR:	Drought	ER:	Erosion Risk	WD:	Soil

Wetness/Droughtiness

ST: Topsoil Stoniness

TEXTURE: Soil texture classes are denoted by the following abbreviations:-

S:	Sand	LS:	Loamy Sand	SL:	Sandy Loam
SZL:	Sandy Silt Loam	CL:	Clay Loam	ZCL	Silty Clay Loam
ZL:	Silt Loam	SCL:	Sandy Clay	C:	Clay
			Loam		
SC:	Sandy clay	ZC:	Silty clay	OL:	Organic Loam
P:	Peat	SP:	Sandy Peat	LP:	Loamy Peat
PL:	Peaty Loam	PS:	Peaty Sand	MZ:	Marine Light Silts

For the sand, loamy sand, sandy loam and sandy silt loam classes, the predominant size of sand fraction will be indicated by the use of the following prefixes:-

F: Fine (more than 66% of the sand less than 0.2mm)

M: Medium (less than 66% fine sand and less than 33% coarse sand)

C: Coarse (more than 33% of the sand larger than 0.6mm)

The clay loam and silty clay loam classes will be sub-divided according to the clay content: **M:** Medium (< 27% clay) **H:** heavy (27 - 35% clay)

MOTTLE COL: Mottle colour using Munsell notation.

MOTTLE ABUN: Mottle abundance, expressed as a percentage of the matrix or surface described.

F: few <2% C: common 2 - 20% M: many 20 - 40% VM: very many 40%+

MOTTLE CONT: Mottle contrast

F: faint - indistinct mottles, evident only on close inspection

D: distinct - mottles are readily seen

P: Prominent - mottling is conspicuous and one of the outstanding features of the horizon.

PED. COL: Ped face colour using Munsell notation.

GLEY: If the soil horizon is gleyed a 'Y' will appear in this column. If slightly gleyed, an 'S' will appear.

STONE LITH: Stone Lithology - One of the following is used.

HR: All hard rocks and stones
 CH: Chalk
 ZR: Soft, argillaceous, or silty rocks
 GH: Gravel with non-porous (hard) stones

MSST: Soft, medium grained sandstone GS: Gravel with porous (soft) stones

SI: Soft weathered igneous or metamorphic rock

Stone contents are given in % by volume for sizes >2cm, >6cm and total stone >2mm.

STRUCT: The degree of development, size and shape of soil peds are described using the following notation

Degree of development WA: Weakly developed WK: Weakly developed

Adherent

MD: Moderately ST: Strongly developed

developed

Ped size F: Fine M: Medium

C: Coarse VC: Very coarse

Ped Shape S: Single grain M: Massive

GR: Granular AB: Angular blocky

SAB: Sub-angular blocky PR: Prismatic

PL: Platy

CONSIST: Soil consistence is described using the following notation:

L: Loose VF: Very Friable FR: Friable FM: Firm VM: Very firm EM: Extremely firm EH: Extremely Hard

SUBS STR: Subsoil structural condition recorded for the purpose of calculating

profile droughtiness: G: Good M: Moderate P: Poor

POR: Soil porosity. If a soil horizon has poor porosity with less than 0.5% biopores >0.5mm, a 'Y' will appear in this column.

IMP: If the profile is impenetrable to rooting a 'Y' will appear in this column at the appropriate horizon.

SPL: Slowly permeable layer. If the soil horizon is slowly permeable a 'Y' will appear in this column.

CALC: If the soil horizon is calcareous with naturally occurring calcium carbonate exceeding 1% a 'Y' will appear this column.

2. Additional terms and abbreviations used mainly in soil pit descriptions.

STONE ASSESSMENT:

V: Visual S: Sieved D: Displacement

MOTTLE SIZE:

EF: Extremely fine < lmm M: Medium 5-15mm VF: Very fine 1-2mm> C: Coarse > 15mm

F: Fine 2-5mm

MOTTLE COLOUR: May be described by Munsell notation or as ochreous

(OM) or grey (GM).

ROOT CHANNELS: In topsoil the presence of 'rusty root channels' might

also be noted as RRC.

MANGANESE CONCRETIONS: Assessed by volume

N: None M: Many 20-40% F: Few <2% VM: Very Many >40%

C: Common 2-20%

POROSITY:

P: Poor - less than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter
G: Good - more than 0.5% biopores at least 0.5mm in diameter

ROOT ABUNDANCE:

The number	of roots per 100cm ² :	Very Fine and Fine	Medium and Coarse	
F:	Few	1-10	1 or 2	
C:	Common	10.25	2 - 5	
M:	Many	25-200	>5	
A:	Abundant	>200		

ROOT SIZE

 VF:
 Very fine
 <1mm</th>
 M:
 Medium
 2 - 5mm

 F:
 Fine
 1-2mm
 C:
 Coarse
 >5mm

HORIZON BOUNDARY DISTINCTNESS:

 Sharp:
 <0.5cm</td>
 Gradual:
 6 - 13cm

 Abrupt:
 0.5 - 2.5cm
 Diffuse:
 >13cm

Clear: 2.5 - 6cm

HORIZON BOUNDARY FORM: Smooth, wavy, irregular or broken.*

^{*} See Soil Survey Field Handbook (Hodgson, 1997) for details.